

The I-69 Evansville-to-Indianapolis Study
Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement



Draft Level 2
Alternatives Analysis Report
Task 5.3.5



October 16, 2001



Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
I. Process Overview.....	1
II. Considerations in the Level2 Screening Process.....	4
III. Description of the Alternatives.....	5
A. The Scoping Process.....	5
B. Route Descriptions.....	5
IV. Evaluation of the Alternatives.....	13
A. Transportation Performance Measures.....	15
B. Economic DevelopmentPerformance Measures.....	19
C. National I-69 Goals/Policy.....	24
D. Cost and Mileage Estimates.....	26
V. Screening of the Alternatives.....	28
A. Approach.....	28
B. Western Group.....	30
C. Central Group – With Bloomington Connection.....	31
D. Central Group – Without Bloomington Connection.....	33
E. Eastern Group.....	34
F. The No-Build Alternative.....	35
G. Alternatives Recommended for Detailed Study.....	35
H. Summary.....	37
VI. Where we go from here.....	39



List of Tables

Table 1: Performance Measures.....	14
Table 2: Route Concept Typical Travel Times and Travel Time Savings.....	16
Table 3: Daily Truck Vehicle-Hours Saved in 2025 by Alternative Route Concept.....	25
Table 4: Capital Costs and Mileage Estimates of theRoute Concepts.....	26
Table 5: Geographic Grouping of Alternatives.....	Foldout
Table 6: Screening and Consolidation of Route Concepts by Major Geographic Region Served	Foldout

List of Figures

Figure 1: Tier 1 vs. Tier 2.....	3
Figure 2: Alternatives Analysis in Tier 1.....	3
Figure 3: Route Concept Maps.....	6-9
Figure 4: Evansville-Indy Connection Composite Scores.....	16
Figure 5: Composite Personal Accessibility Scores.....	18
Figure 6: Composite Congestion Performance Scores.....	18
Figure 7: Composite Traffic Safety Scores.....	19
Figure 8: Composite Monetary Cost Savings Scores.....	20
Figure 9: Composite Market Access Scores.....	21
Figure 10: Composite Economic Growth Scores.....	22
Figure 11: Social Equity Composite Scores.....	23
Figure 12: Scores for Daily Truck-Hours Saved.....	24
Figure 13: Regional Intermodal Facilities Scores.....	25
Figure 14: Annual Operating & Maintenance Cost Increases.....	27
Figure 15: Alternatives Recommended for Advancement to Level 3.....	38