

Table 5: Geographic Grouping of Alternatives

		Western Group				Central Group (Bloomington)								Central Group (Non-Bloomington)			Eastern			
		A	C1	C2	E	B1	B2	D	F1	F2	G	H1	H2	I	J	M	K	L1	L2	N
Cities Served	Evansville	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	Princeton	X	X	X	X	X	X													
	Vincennes	X	X	X	X			X												
	Linton		X	X	X			X						X	X	X				
	Washington					X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	
	Bloomington					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				X	X	X	
	Jasper															X				X
	Bedford																	X	X	X
	Martinsville			X		X	X	X		X		X	X					X	X	X
	Indianapolis	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X



Table 6: Screening and Consolidation of Route Concepts by Major Geographic Region Served

Goal	Objective (Bold Caps = Core Objective)	Western				Central Bloomington								Central Non-Bloomington			Eastern				
		A	C1	C2	E	B1	B2	D	F1	F2	G	H1	H2	I	J	M	K	L1	L2	N	
Transportation	INDY-EVV CONNECTION	***	****	****	***	***	***	*	*****	*****	****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	**	***	****	***	*
	PERSONAL ACCESSIBILITY	**	***	****	**	*****	*****	*****	****	*****	****	*****	*****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
	Traffic Congestion Relief	*	***	***	*	****	****	****	***	****	***	***	****	***	***	***	****	****	****	****	****
	Traffic Safety	***	***	****	***	****	****	****	***	****	***	****	****	***	***	***	****	****	****	****	****
Economic Development	Monetary User Benefits	**	***	***	*	***	****	*	****	*****	***	***	****	****	***	***	**	**	***	**	*
	Business Markets Accessibility	**	***	***	***	****	*****	***	*****	*****	****	*****	*****	***	***	***	****	****	****	****	
	Long-Term Economic Growth	*	*	****	*	***	*****	****	**	****	***	***	*****	**	**	***	***	***	****	****	
	Social Distribution of Benefits	**	****	*****	***	****	*****	*****	****	*****	****	****	*****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	
National I-69	INTERSTATE/INTERNAT'L TRADE	*	***	***	**	***	****	***	****	*****	***	****	****	***	***	***	****	***	***	****	
	Intermodal Accessibility	**	****	****	***	****	****	***	*****	*****	****	*****	****	****	****	**	****	****	****	***	
Costs	Capital Costs	\$	\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$	\$\$\$	\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$	\$	\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	
	O&M Costs	\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$	
Eliminate Weaker Alts					X	X	X	X			X			X		X	X			X	
Consolidate Stronger Alts		A	C1 + C2						F1 + F2 (with "H Option")					J			L1 + L2				
Alts Carried Forward		1	2						3					4			5				

Source: Bernardin-Lochmueller & Associates, Inc. September 2001